

Stephen Hoffman

#3146

From: Kristi Wees <kristiwees@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 05, 2016 10:54 PM
To: ra-stateboardofed@pa.gov; IRRC; Mturzai@pahousegop.com; Weakland, Aleta
Subject: IRRC #3146 & 3147- Public Comment

May 5, 2016

Cindy Findley
Director, Division of Immunization
Department of Health
P.O. Box 90
Harrisburg, PA 17108

RECEIVED
IRRC
2016 MAY -6 AM 8:50

And

Karen Molchanow
Executive Director Dept of Education

To whom it may concern:

I am writing in reference to the proposed changes outlined in IRRC #3146 & 3147 and the public comment period that is open until May 9th.

Please accept this email as my official "public comment".

Of those changes that are proposed I am **OPPOSED** to:

- **Decreasing the provisional period for student enrollment from 240 days to 5 days.**
- **Proof of natural immunity for chicken pox through having contracted the disease must now be provided by a doctor, physician's assistant, or nurse practitioner.**
- **Addition of Meningococcal vaccine for students entering 12th grade.**
- **Inclusion of Pertussis vaccine for kindergarten admission.**
- **The DOH proposes to edit the current regulations by eliminating separate listings for measles, mumps, rubella, tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis vaccines that are currently most commonly consumed as combination shots. Instead, they will only be listed in the regulations in their combination forms - MMR and TDaP.**

In Addition I would like to ask for an addition to the proposal:

- **There is no requirement for standardized language in communications regarding vaccine requirements.** Adding this language would allow all school districts to communicate the same message across the state about a parents rights under the law to make the best decision to vaccinate or not to vaccinate their child, according to their philosophical and religious beliefs.

If you would like to explore the reasons I am opposed to these changes, I would direct you to this document: http://www.pacic.org/assets/DOH_comments_final.pdf.

I believe it sums up precisely the concerns I have as a parent and the reasoning for those concerns.

As a parent of a child whose health declined with every round of routine immunizations and who is now suspected of a mitochondrial disorder that has be noted in the medical literature and vaccine injury compensation court legal proceedings as being associated with childhood vaccination, my concern lies in the fact that the US Supreme court has labeled vaccines "unavoidably unsafe" and yet precautions are not being taken on a public health level to screen for those children and individuals who may be vulnerable or most harmed by vaccine injury and vaccine reactions, in an attempt to prevent vaccine harm.

Thank you for registering my public comments. I implore you to re-consider removing these provisions, that I have noted above, before putting any new regulations into place.

Thank you for your time,

Kristi Wees
kristiwees@gmail.com